

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexascins, Virginia 22313-1450 www.nepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/632,099	08/01/2003	Richard O. Chen	27763-705,501	1917
WILSON, SONSINI, GOODRICH & ROSATI 650 PAGE MILL ROAD			EXAM	IINER
			RIGGS II, LARRY D	
PALO ALTO,	CA 94304-1050		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1631	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/12/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Interview Summary

Applicant(s)

10/632,099

CHEN ET AL.

Examiner

Art Unit

LARRY D. RIGGS II

1631

		7.0.0					
	LARRY D. RIGGS II	1631					
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):						
(1) <u>LARRY D. RIGGS II</u> .	(3)Paul Borchardt.						
(2) Majorie Moran.	(4)						
Date of Interview: 05 August 2009.							
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2	2) applicant's representative	e]					
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)⊠ Yes e)□ No. If Yes, brief description: <u>Proposed amended claims</u> .							
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1-5,7-9,13,14 and 62-78</u> .							
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>Hughes et al.</u> .							
Agreement with respect to the claims f) $\square$ was reached. g) $\boxtimes$ was not reached. h) $\square$ N/A.							
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <a href="Applicant's representative discussed">Applicant's representative discussed the ments of the invention in respect to the proposed amended claims. The current rejection under 35 U.S.C. \$101 was discussed and \$52 E Moran determined that the proposed amended claims would overcome that current rejection. Hughes et al. was discussed and Examiner Riggs indicated that further search and consideration was needed in light of the proposed amended claims.  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.</a>							
/Larry Riggs/ Eventing Art Unit 1631							